

Prevalence and Circumstances of Persons with Disabilities in the Thanlon Subdivision of Manipur, India

Summary of Findings from the Centre for Community Initiative's Survey on Disabilities

April 12, 2016

Amy White

The Giving Analyst

I. OVERVIEW

The Centre for Community Initiative (CCI) is a non-governmental, non-political and not-for-profit organization devoted to persons with disabilities by advocating, facilitating and empowering parents, siblings and persons with disabilities through practical support, assessment and counseling; creating positive and supportive environments for persons with disabilities; encouraging inclusive education and advocating for their rights and dignity. It shares, listens, commits and works towards building a responsive society in which differently and potentially abled people grow in self-esteem and enjoy full participation in the community.

CCI administered a series of community surveys in four areas within Manipur, India to assess the type and prevalence of persons with disabilities in the community, what opportunities and support services were available to them, and what additional resources would be beneficial.

CCI solicited volunteers via the United Nations Volunteers' website www.onlinevolunteering.org to summarize the survey results. I responded to the volunteer request and was selected to summarize the data from the Thanlon Subdivision.

The survey contained a series of 69 questions (in the Annexure), some with multiple parts. Almost all of the questions had a set of multiple choice answers from which to choose but a handful were open ended responses.

II. DATA AND ANALYSIS

I was provided an SPSS database containing the responses from 196 surveys. The data contained a seven-digit household ID for each survey response as well as the responses to each of the survey questions. Twelve of the responses were assigned a household ID of '01 00 0000' or '01 01 0000' and contained the name of the village but had no answers to the remaining survey questions.¹ These 12 observations were excluded from my analysis.

Two survey responses had no answer to the question of the type of disability. I assigned a disability type to these records based on the responses to the other questions.² The resulting data contained responses for 184 individuals. Many respondents did not answer all of the 69 survey questions.

All analysis was conducted in SAS statistical software or Microsoft Excel.

III. RESULTS

This section provides a summary of the key findings from the survey with a focus on the topics requested by the Centre for Community Initiative. Throughout this discussion, the number of responses given for each question are provided as well as the corresponding percentage of non-missing responses. The sum of responses for a given question is often less than the 184 survey respondents because respondents do not answer every question.

¹ An exception was one of these records contained a response to the question of where the child with the disability was born but no other information.


² Household ID '01 01 0058' was assigned '11 - H.I and S.I' for hearing and speech impaired. Household ID '01 08 0186' was assigned '10 - Multiple Disability.'

Appendix A, attached to the end of this report, summarizes the responses to every survey question, many of which are not included in the discussion below.

Demographic Profile

The majority of the 184 respondents had multiple disabilities³ (47) followed by 40 respondents with locomotor disabilities. There were 33 hearing impaired respondents, 31 with mental retardation, and 12 visually impaired. The remaining categories – speech impairment, cerebral palsy, mental illness, learning disability, and physical disability – had a total of 21 respondents.

The graph below shows the age distribution of the people with disabilities (PwD). It is not surprising that there are fewer people with disabilities below five years old because certain disabilities may not have been discovered at that young of an age. It is also not surprising to find fewer people with disabilities over the age of 60 since they may not have lived to such an old age. It is odd though that there are relatively few people in the 14 to 16 and 16 to 20 year old categories. More could be said about this distribution if we had a similar distribution for the population overall and could test what percentage of people in each age group have disabilities. If there are fewer people between age 14 and 20 in the population as a whole then it would not be surprising that there is a correspondingly small number of people with disabilities.



PwDs were slightly more likely to be male (53.8%) than female (46.2%).

All respondents except for one listed cultivation as the primary source of income; one respondent had salaried employment. Most respondents had middle to high level responses for monthly family income (69.6% responded 2,001 to 3,000 rupees, 17.4% responded 3,000 rupees and above) while only two respondents claimed an average monthly income of less than 1,000 rupees.

³ This includes respondents who selected “Multiple Disability” as well as those who selected other categories such as “LD & SI” or “HI & VI” that imply multiple disabilities.

Education

Of the children with disabilities, about half (42 respondents or 52.5%) reported that the child *could* attend school while 38 either could not attend school or it was unknown whether or not they could attend. The responses to whether or not the child actually attended school were very similar. Forty-three (43 or 49.4%) attended school while 44 (51.5%) did not.⁴ Children with locomotor disabilities or hearing, speech, or visual impairments were proportionately more likely to be able to attend school and actually attended school proportionately more than children with cerebral palsy, mental illness or retardation.

It is not clear what facilities are available at the schools because most of the respondents (11 out of 12) selected "other" but did not specify what type. A single respondent said a ramp was available. No respondents listed special chairs, desks, or toilets, braille, sign language, or therapy though it is possible that those who selected "other" had multiple types of facilities that included some of those listed above.

Similarly, it is not clear what difficulties the PwDs faced at school because many of the respondents (11 or 47.8%) listed "other" but did not elaborate. Six said they could not communicate with the teacher, one said they do not get any special attention, one said teachers do not know how to teach them, and four said all of the above which also included other children avoiding or bullying the child with a disability.

Despite difficulties at school and possibly limited facilities, the vast majority of respondents (33 or 91.6%) said the schools welcome children with disabilities.

Fourteen out of 61 respondents (22.9%) were drop outs with varying reasons for why they no longer attended school including not being able to go to school, difficulty speaking, inability to hear, disturbing study, personal problems, and sickness. There was not a predominate reason for dropping out.

About half of PwDs (84 of 173) could read and write. PwDs with locomotor disabilities, hearing impairment, and visual impairment could read and write proportionately more than individuals with cerebral palsy, mental illness or retardation, or speech impairments.

Forty-one respondents (69.5%) attend the Anganwadi Center with all disability types being approximately equally likely to attend. Of the 18 that do not attend the Anganwadi Center, ten of them reported that the center is never open.

Most respondents (84 or 71.1%) were not familiar with the facilities under the SSA. Those who were familiar with SSA predominately received books (7 or 50%) followed by "other" (6) or uniforms (1). Only 2 of 145 respondents said there were special facilities for the education of children with disabilities in the district.

Livelihood

⁴ These figures are in response to question number 46. Question number 9 also asked if the PwD ever goes to school to which 104 (57.1%) respondents replied yes and 78 (42.9%) replied no. There is not sufficient information available to me to be able to determine why there are discrepancies between the responses to these two questions. Perhaps question number 9 was addressed to PwDs of any age inquiring whether they currently or had ever attended school whereas question number 46 was directed toward child-age PwDs to assess whether they are currently attending school.

Most PwDs (113 or 66.8%) were unemployed for the previous 12 months; 73 of them were unable to work and 40 were able to work. Students (35) and dropouts (7) were the next most common. Only 7 reported being non-government employees or self-employed. Seven people reported "other" but did not provide details.

Of the respondents, 72 (41.1%) said the PwD has an interest in a vocation but only 26 had the skill set to do a vocation and only one had received vocational training.

Social Participation

Many PwDs had relationships outside of the immediate family members either with a few friends (69 or 38.1%) or with a variety of community members (45 or 24.9%). The remaining 67 respondents (37%) said their social relationships are limited to immediate family members only.

Only 43 (26%) said the PwD could participate in social activities or ceremony with those having mental illness or retardation being the least likely to be able to participate.

Access to Health Care

The majority of PwDs (132 respondents or 72%) had seen a health care provider for treatment. The tendency to see a health care provider varied by the type of disability where PwDs with locomotor disabilities, visual impairments, and cerebral palsy were more likely to see a health care provider while PwDs with hearing impairments and speech impairments were proportionately less likely. Those who had not seen a health care provider cited the cost (29) and lack of access (25) as primary reasons.

Many respondents stated that the PwD needed an assistant device of some sort, most commonly a hearing aid (37), walking or supporting stick (32), or wheel chair (15) but only 10 stated that they had received an assistance device or financial assistance.⁵ Only three respondents stated they needed spectacles.

Only five respondents received entitlements under JSSK and 79 said they had never heard of JSSK.

Most respondents stated that the PwD did not need surgery (130 or 77.8%). People with visual, speech, and locomotor disabilities were much more likely to need surgery than people with cerebral palsy, hearing impairments, learning or mental disabilities, or multiple disabilities.

Children with Disabilities

The vast majority of respondents (96 or 92.3%) said the child with a disability was born at home. Five were born at government hospitals, two at private hospitals, and one at some other location. Most children are immunized (71 or 93.4%), 64 of which had received all of their immunizations.

⁵ Ten people responded affirmatively to question number 34 which asked if the PwD had received an assistance device or financial assistance. It is possible that some of these 10 responses responded affirmatively because they had received financial assistance, not because of receipt of an assistance device.

The disability of the child was known “since childhood” for 59 respondents (79.7%) which is not particularly informative since “childhood” could be mean any time after birth through the late teen years. Very few said since birth (6) or not until adulthood (3).

IV. SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE ANALYSIS

A number of the survey questions included an “other” category in the collection of multiple choice answers. If the respondent selected “other,” the survey asked them to elaborate or provide more information. However, the dataset I received contained no explanations of the “other” responses. I do not know whether respondents did not provide additional information or if the information was simply not produced to me. If the information was collected, it could be useful to analyze it in order to better understand the circumstances of the PwDs and to possibly improve the selection of multiple choice options for future surveys.

Many of the survey responses could be more useful if they were paired with similar information from the overall population. This would allow for a determination of whether the particular circumstances reported in the survey are a result of the disability or simply a factor of the community overall. For example, only 49% of the PwDs were said to attend school. How does this percentage compare to the population overall? Are PwDs less likely to attend school than other people in the community who do not have a disability? Along the same lines, are PwDs more or less likely to see a health care provider than other people in the community? Do PwDs experience higher levels of unemployment than the general population? These types of analyses would help determine to what degree disability-focused services are needed versus overall community assistance.

APPENDIX A - DETAILED RESPONSE SUMMARIES

This appendix shows the responses to each of the survey questions.

Q0. Type of disability.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
40	(21.7%)	Locomotor Disability
35	(19.0%)	Multiple Disability
33	(17.9%)	Hearing Impaired
31	(16.8%)	Mental Retardation
12	(6.5%)	Visually Impaired
8	(4.3%)	Speech Impaired
6	(3.3%)	Cerebral Palsy
4	(2.2%)	H.I and S.I
4	(2.2%)	Mental illness
3	(1.6%)	HI & VI
2	(1.1%)	Learning Disability
2	(1.1%)	LD & MR
2	(1.1%)	CP & LD
1	(0.5%)	Physical disability
1	(0.5%)	LD & SI

For the remainder of the questions, respondents with any combination of more than one disability were grouped together with individuals who selected Multiple Disability.

HOUSEHOLD IDENTIFICATION

Q1. Household ID.

This variable contains a unique seven digit ID for each of the 184 survey responses

Q2. Name of village.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
18	(9.8%)	Thanlon
12	(6.5%)	Zoutung
11	(6%)	Suongsang
10	(5.4%)	Pamjal
10	(5.4%)	Songtal
9	(4.9%)	Bualmual
9	(4.9%)	Leijangphai
7	(3.8%)	Sinzawl
5	(2.7%)	Mualnuam
5	(2.7%)	Phaipheng
5	(2.7%)	Kangkap
5	(2.7%)	Phailienbung
5	(2.7%)	Bukpi
5	(2.7%)	Sumtuah
4	(2.2%)	Khoken
4	(2.2%)	C.Zalen
3	(1.6%)	Tangnuam
3	(1.6%)	S.Thenjol
3	(1.6%)	Phaitong
3	(1.6%)	Palkhuang
3	(1.6%)	Pangen
3	(1.6%)	Mualpheng
3	(1.6%)	Gamhui
3	(1.6%)	Milongmun
2	(1.1%)	Mualhoihchiang
2	(1.1%)	Tallian
2	(1.1%)	Tuipiphai
2	(1.1%)	Tuilizang
2	(1.1%)	Muikot
2	(1.1%)	Muntha
2	(1.1%)	L.Phungchongjang
2	(1.1%)	Galkapkot
2	(1.1%)	Maite
2	(1.1%)	Suangpehmun
2	(1.1%)	T.Maojang
2	(1.1%)	Dialkhai
1	(0.5%)	Sainouzang
1	(0.5%)	Khawngzang
1	(0.5%)	Aibulon
1	(0.5%)	Thiekbung
1	(0.5%)	Tuikumualum
1	(0.5%)	Khajang
1	(0.5%)	Khuanggin
1	(0.5%)	Hanship

1	(0.5%)	Phainuam
1	(0.5%)	Saite
1	(0.5%)	Kaihlam
1	(0.5%)	Mongawn

Q3. Tribe.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
64	(34.8%)	Paite
34	(18.5%)	Simte
31	(16.8%)	Thadou
27	(14.7%)	Vaiphei
17	(9.2%)	Gangte
9	(4.9%)	Zou
2	(1.1%)	Hmar

Q3a. Principle source of income.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
184	(100%)	Cultivation

Q3b. Main source of income.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
183	(99.5%)	Cultivation
1	(0.5%)	Salaried Employment



GENERAL PROFILE

Q4. Name of person with the disability (PWD).

Responses removed from data I received.

Q5. Name of the father/guardian.

Responses removed from the data I received.




Q8. Sex.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
99	(53.8%)	Male
85	(46.2%)	Female

Q9. Does s/he ever go to school?


Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
104	(57.1%)	Yes

78 (42.9%) No





Q10. Main occupation of family




Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
182	(98.9%)	Cultivator
1	(0.5%)	Allied Agriculture




Q12. Average monthly income of family

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
128	(69.6%)	3 - Rs. 2001 to 3000 4 - Rs. 3000 and above
32	(17.4%)	2 - Rs. 1001 to 2000
22	(12%)	1 - Up to Rs. 1000
2	(1.1%)	







Q15a. Cause of Speech Impairment by Disability




Q16. Locomotor Disability by Disability




Q16a. Cause of Locomotor Disability by Disability




Q17a. Cause of Cerebral Palsy by Disability






Q18. Mental Retardation by Disability





Q18a. Cause of Mental Retardation by Disability







Q20a. Causes of Down Syndrome.

No answers provided.





Q23. Any Other Form of Disability

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
3	(60%)	Physical disability
2	(40%)	Stroke


Q23a. Causes of Any Other Form of Disability

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
2	(66.7%)	Accident
1	(33.3%)	Muscle

Q23b. If Disability Due to Burns/Injury, Place of Accident


Number of	Percent of	Response
-----------	------------	----------


Respondents	Respondents	
1	(50%)	Home
1	(50%)	Others



Q24a. If Yes, Percentage of Disabilities According to Certificate

One response of 75%.










Q26. Age at Which Disability was Diagnosed

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
111	(65.7%)	Since childhood
39	(23.1%)	After adulthood
7	(4.1%)	By Birth
2	(1.2%)	After 1 month of birth
1	(0.6%)	49
1	(0.6%)	50
1	(0.6%)	55




There were an additional 7 respondents that provided the year in which the diagnosis was made but because we do not have a date of birth for the PwD, we cannot determine at what age the disability was diagnosed based on the year of diagnosis. These responses have been excluded from the table above.


LEVEL OF INDEPENDENCE





ACCESS TO HEALTH FACILITIES







Q33a. If yes, what medication?

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
15	(25.4%)	Ear drops
11	(18.6%)	Not specified
10	(16.9%)	Vitamin
9	(15.3%)	Mental medic
3	(5.1%)	Muscular
2	(3.4%)	T.B. medic
2	(3.4%)	Injection
1	(1.7%)	Anthritis medicine
1	(1.7%)	Peridol
1	(1.7%)	Paralysis control
1	(1.7%)	Pain relief
		Feronia, Pyzitol
1	(1.7%)	Suspension
1	(1.7%)	Cardinal, dignital
1	(1.7%)	Neurovit
7	(4.1%)	By Birth
2	(1.2%)	After 1 month of birth
1	(0.6%)	49
1	(0.6%)	50


Q33b. How often is medicine taken?

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
15	(71.4%)	Twice a day
5	(23.8%)	Not specified
1	(4.8%)	Once in a month





Q34a. If yes, please specify the device.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
37	(39.4%)	Hearing aid
23	(24.5%)	Walking stick
15	(16%)	Wheel chair
9	(9.6%)	Supporting stick
5	(5.3%)	Body exercise
3	(3.2%)	Spectacles
1	(1.1%)	Ankle knee orthosis
1	(1.1%)	Speech therapy



Q35a. If yes, please specify.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
3	(60%)	Ear phone
1	(20%)	Hand therapy
1	(20%)	Leg therapy







Q36a. If yes, did the mother get all entitlements during pregnancy?

No responses.


Q36b. If yes, did the sick baby get all entitlements?


No responses.






CHILDREN WITH DISABILITY





Q39a. If no, please specify the reason.


One response of "1 – No facilities".




Q39c. If no, specify the reason why they have not received all immunizations.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
3	(60%)	No service
2	(40%)	No sanction


Q40. When did the parents realize the child has a problem?




Q41. Where did parents first go when realized child had a problem?




Q42. Can disability become worse without medicine and therapy?





EDUCATION RELATED






Q44a. If yes, please specify.

One response of "1 – Mission."

Q44b. When did s/he receive the training?



One response of "1" and one response of "2."





Q46a. If yes, what class is s/he studying in?

Number of Percent of Response


Respondents	Respondents	Respondents
16	(43.2%)	1
15	(40.5%)	Nursery
3	(8.1%)	5
1	(2.7%)	12
1	(2.7%)	8
1	(2.7%)	Drop Out










Q49a. If no, what are their reasons?



One response of "Difficulty in teaching" and one response of "2."














LIVELIHOOD RELATED









Q59a. If yes, where?



No responses.

Q59b. Did you get the loan?

There were seven responses (all "NO") but should have been blank since no one ever applied for a loan according to question 59.

Q59c. If no, what were the problems faced?

There were 58 responses (48 for "1 – Not Aware" and 10 for "2 – Never Heard") but there should have been no responses since no one ever applied for a loan according to question 59.






Q60b. If no, what are the reasons?

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
162	(95.9%)	Never heard
4	(2.4%)	Don't know how to apply

2 (1.2%) Not selected
1 (0.6%) No disability certificate

SOCIO-POLITICAL






Q62a. If yes, since when?

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
24	(61.5%)	2007
5	(12.8%)	Long ago
3	(7.7%)	Recently
3	(7.7%)	Few years back
3	(7.7%)	2012
1	(2.6%)	1999


Q62b. If no, what is the reason for not having the card?


Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
40	(44.4%)	Not aware
30	(33.3%)	Processing
13	(14.4%)	Not received
4	(4.4%)	Not in their hand
3	(3.3%)	Not issued



Q62d. If no, give reason.

Number of Respondents	Percent of Respondents	Response
29	(85.3%)	Irregular Supply
2	(5.9%)	Others
1	(2.9%)	Too Far
1	(2.9%)	No Time to Get Financial Constraint
1	(2.9%)	Constraint









Q66a. If no, what is the reason?

Two (2) responses of "Fresh."

SOCIAL PARTICIPATION





Annex: Respondent Sheet

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



Household ID	
Village	
Head of the Household	
Main occupation of the Household	
Name of PwD	
Date of Birth of PwD	
Sex	1. Male 2. Female
Place of Birth	1. Home 2. Pvt. Hospital 3. Govt. Hospital 4. Others
Types of Disability	
Disability Certificate No & Validity	
Parent's Occupation	

Centre for Community Initiative (CCI) is a not-for-profit organisation addressing the cause of persons with special needs; to encourage one another in challenging times and to work towards creating an accepting society.

CBM is an international Christian development organisation, committed to improving the quality of life of people with disabilities in the poorest communities of the world.

CCI with the support from CBM is undertaking Research and Advocacy targeting disabled population within the District of Churachandpur, in an attempt to create a complete data-base on disability. The absence of such reliable data has led to a deliberate or accidental omission of many deserving differently able individuals depriving them from a right based recognition further resulting in deprivation of their rightful entitlements.

As a result of the survey, the data will enhance the sustainability and reliability on service and delivery to the rightful stakeholders. It will also be beneficial not only to the community of persons with disabilities but also help the District Administration in ensuring a more inclusive service delivery as it is fundamentally and constitutionally obligated to deliver services to every person with disability.

I have been asked to give consent to participate in this survey which will involve in completing questionnaire I have read the foregoing purpose of the survey or it has been read to me. I have had the opportunity to ask questions about it and any questions that I have asked have been answered to my satisfaction. I consent voluntarily to participate as a participant in this survey.

Interviewer Signature
Date
Place

Head of the family Signature

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE

with support from

CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



RESPONDENT'S COPY

Household ID	
Village	
Head of the Household	
Main occupation of the Household	
Name of PwD	
Date of Birth of PwD	
Sex	1. Male 2. Female
Place of Birth	1. Home 2. Pvt. Hospital 3. Govt. Hospital 4. Others
Types of Disability	
Disability Certificate No & Validity	
Parent's Occupation	

Centre for Community Initiative (CCI) is a not-for-profit organisation addressing the cause of persons with special needs; to encourage one another in challenging times and to work towards creating an accepting society.

CBM is an international Christian development organisation, committed to improving the quality of life of people with disabilities in the poorest communities of the world.

CCI with the support from CBM is undertaking Research and Advocacy targeting disabled population within the District of Churachandpur, in an attempt to create a complete data-base on disability. The absence of such reliable data has led to a deliberate or accidental omission of many deserving differently able individuals depriving them from a right based recognition further resulting in deprivation of their rightful entitlements.

As a result of the survey, the data will enhance the sustainability and reliability on service and delivery to the rightful stakeholders. It will also be beneficial not only to the community of persons with disabilities but also help the District Administration in ensuring a more inclusive service delivery as it is fundamentally and constitutionally obligated to deliver services to every person with disability.

I have been asked to give consent to participate in this survey which will involve in completing questionnaire I have read the foregoing purpose of the survey or it has been read to me. I have had the opportunity to ask questions about it and any questions that I have asked have been answered to my satisfaction. I consent voluntarily to participate as a participant in this survey.

Interviewer Signature
Date
Place

Head of the family Signature

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



Household Identification	
1.	Household ID
2.	Name of the Household head
3.	Tribe
	Principle source of income for the household
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cultivation 2. Allied Agriculture 3. Agri. Wage laborer 4. Non-Agri. Wage laborer 5. Artisan/ Independent worker 6. Salaried Employment 7. Retired 8. Petty Shop 9. Others

General Profile	
4	Name of the Person with Disability
5	Name of the Father/ Guardian
	If Guardian, Relationship of a Guardian & PwD
6	Total number of the family
7	Age in years
8	Sex
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Male 2. Female
9	Does s/he ever go to school
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, how many years of schooling
10	Main occupation of the family
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cultivation 2. Allied Agriculture 3. Agri. Wage laborer 4. Non-Agri. Wage laborer 5. Artisan/ Independent worker 6. Salaried Employment 7. Retired 8. Petty Shop 9. Others
11	Number of earning members in the family
12	Average monthly income of the family
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Up to Rs. 1000 2. Rs. 1001 to 2000 3. Rs. 2001 to 3000 4. Rs. 3000 and above

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



Disability Related		
13	Visually Impaired	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Low vision 2. No light perception 3. Light perception but can't count fingers even with spectacles up to a distance of 1 meter 4. Normally does not use spectacles 5. Has light perception but cannot count fingers even with spectacles up to a distance of 1 to 3 meters
	a. Causes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sore eyes during the first month of life 2. Sore eyes after one month 3. Cataract 4. Glaucoma 5. Corneal opacity 6. Small pox 7. Burns 8. Medical/ surgical intervention 9. Old age 10. Trauma/ Accident 11. Other
14	Hearing Impaired	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Profound 2. Severe 3. Moderate 4. Mild
	a. Causes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. German measles/ rubella 2. Noise induced hearing loss 3. Ear discharged 4. Burns 5. Medical/ surgical intervention 6. Old age 7. Trauma/ Accident 8. Other illness 9. Causes not known
15	Speech Impaired	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cannot speak 2. Speaks only in single words 3. Speaks unintelligently 4. Stammers 5. Speak with abnormal voice 6. Any other speech defect
	a. Causes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Hearing impairment 2. Voice disorder 3. Cleft palate/ lip 4. Paralysis 5. Mental illness/ retardation 6. Burns

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7. Medical/ surgical intervention 8. Old age 9. Other illness 10. Causes not known
16	Locomotor Disability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paralysis 2. Deformity of limb 3. Loss of limb 4. Dysfunction of joints / limbs 5. Others
	a. Causes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cerebral Palsy 2. Polio 3. Leprosy cured 4. Leprosy not cured 5. Stroke 6. Arthritis 7. Cardio-respiratory disease 8. Cancer 9. Tuberculosis 10. Burns 11. Medical/ Surgical intervention 12. Old age 13. Other illness 14. Causes not known
18	Cerebral Palsy	
	a. Causes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Forceps Delivery 2. Prolonged labour 3. Strangulation by umbilical cord 4. Exposed to radiation 5. Lack of oxygen to the brain 6. Pregnant mother malnourishment 7. RH Factor 8. Premature birth 9. Attempt of abortion 10. Other
18	Mental Retardation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intellectual impairment 2. Cognitive impairment 3. Mental handicap 4. Developmental handicap 5. Learning difficulty Mentally challenged
	a. Causes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Premature delivery 2. Microcephalus 3. Hydrocephalus 4. Anoxia 5. Low birth weight (<2.5kg)

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. Trisomis 7. Endocrinal deficiency (diabetes during pregnancy) 8. Lack of oxygen to the brain 9. Head injury in childhood 10. Hereditary conditions 11. Marriage between close relatives
19	Autism	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Avoiding eye contact 2. Echolalia 3. Inability to develop friendship 4. Does not respond to his/her name immediately 5. Hyperactive 6. Preference to rigid routine 7. Compulsive tendencies to smell, touch, cover his ears/eyes
	a. Causes	
20	Down Syndrome	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Flat face 2. Upward slanted eyes 3. Single deep crease across the palm of the hand 4. Wide space between the big and second toe 5. Low muscle tone (hypotonia) 6. Mouth tends to stay open with tongue sticking out 7. Small head
	a. Causes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Genetic disorder 2. Trisomy 21 3. Mosaic down syndrome 4. Translocation down syndrome
21	Learning Disabilities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Low achievement 2. Inability to focus on 3. Thinking problem in relation to abstract ideas and concept 4. Reversal in reading and writing 5. Skips line while reading 6. Appears to be forgetful 7. Unable to follow verbal direction 8. Poor fine motor coordination 9. Trial and error approach to work
	a. Causes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heredity 2. Problem during pregnancy and birth 3. Incident after birth
22	Mental Illness	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Depression 2. Anxiety

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Panic attacks 4. Obsessive – compulsive disorder 5. Phobias 6. Mani depression 7. Schizophrenia
	a. Causes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Biological factor 2. Genetic 3. Traumatic events 4. Emotional Problem 5. Psychological 6. Environmental factors 7. Infection 8. Substance abuse
23	Any other form of Disability	
	a. Causes	
	If the disability is due to burns/ injury, place of accident	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Agricultural Field 2. Other work Site 3. Transport Accident 4. Home 5. Others:
24	Do s/he have disability certificate	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, percentage of disabilities according to the certificate	
	b. If no, why	1.
25	Form of disability	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. By birth 2. Acquired If acquired, reason : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accident 2. Sickness 3. Degeneration . 4.Other
26	Disabilities since (age in which Disabilities is diagnosed)	

Level of independence		
27	Mobility	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Independent 2. Need some help 3. Dependent
28	Daily activities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Independent 2. Need some help 3. Dependent
29	Social relationship	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limited to immediate family members 2. Relationship with few friends 3. Wide range of relationship in the community
30	Financial	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Independent 2. Need some help 3. Dependent

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



31	Which are the public places s/he can reach/go independently	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cannot go 2. Never tried or experienced 3. ICDS center 4. PDS 5. Primary school/ mission school 6. Health centre (PHC/ District Hospital) 7. Auto/ Bus stand 8. Government offices 9. Church 10. Community Hall 11. Bank
----	---	---

Access to Health Facilities		
32	Has the person with disability seen a health provider for treatment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, who	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pharmacist 2. Doctor 3. Traditional Practitioner 4. Other:
	b. If no, reason	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No access 2. Cannot afford (too expensive) 3. Far away. Distance: 4. It is of no use
33	Is the person with disability under medication	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, what is the medicine	
	b. How often the medicine is taken	
	c. If no, the reason	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Too expensive 2. Doesn't help 3. Cannot go to hospital to get 4. Not available in the village
34	Does the person need any assistive device	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, please specify the device	
35	Has the person received any assistive device or any financial assistance	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, please specify	
	b. Where did they get aids & appliance or financial assistance	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Govt. Centre 2. Private Centre 3. NGOs 4. MPs/ MLAs/ MDCs
36	Do you get entitlements under JSSK?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, did the mother get all entitlement during pregnancy?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Free and cashless delivery 2. Free C-Section 3. Free drugs and consumables 4. Free diagnostics

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Free diet during stay in the health institutions 6. Free provision of blood 7. Exemption from user charges 8. Free transport from home to health institutions 9. Free transport between facilities in case of referral 10. Free drop back from Institutions to home after 48hrs stay
	b. If yes, did the sick baby (till 30 days from birth) get all entitlement	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Free treatment 2. Free drugs and consumables 3. Free diagnostics 4. Free provision of blood 5. Exemption from user charges 6. Free Transport from Home to Health Institutions 7. Free Transport between facilities in case of referral 8. Free drop Back from Institutions to home
	c. If no, reason	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Never heard 2. Very far to access 3. Other
37	Any Surgery required	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, please specify the need	
	b. If the surgery was done, how is the cost met	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Self support 2. Assistance from Govt. 3. Assistance from NGOs 4. Any other source:

If child with disability		
38	Where was the child born	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Home 2. Govt. Hospital 3. Pvt. Hospital 4. Other
39	Is the child immunized	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If no, please specify the reason	
	b. If yes, are all immunization received	1. Yes 2. No
	c. If no, specify the reason why they do not received all the immunization	
40	When do the parents realized when the child has a problem	
41	Where did the parents first go when they realized their child has a problem	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pharmacist 2. Private hospital

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



		3. Health Centre (PHC/ District Hospital) 4. Others:
42	Can disability become worse if not taken care with medicine and therapy	1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't Know
43	Can a child with disabilities go to school	1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't Know

Education Related		
44	Has s/he ever received any vocational training	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, please specify	
	b. When did s/he received the training	
45	If small child, do they attend Anganwadi Centre	1. Yes 2. No
	If no, reason	1. No Anganwadi Centre 2. Never Open 3. Other
46	Does the child ever go to school	1. Yes 2. No
	If yes, what class is s/he studying in	
	Type of school	1. Govt 2. Mission 3. Private
47	What are facilities available in the school	1. Ramp 2. Special chair/ desk 3. Special modified toilet 4. Braille 5. Sign language 6. Therapy (Specify) 7. Others (specify)
48	What are the difficulties faced by the child in the school	1. Cannot communicate with teachers 2. Do not get any special attention 3. Teacher doesn't know how to teach them 4. Other children avoid/bullying 5. Other (Specify)
49	Do the schools welcome children with disabilities	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If no, what are their reasons	
50	Is the child a drop out	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, what can be the reasons	
51	Can the PwD read and write	1. Yes 2. No
	a. Can the PwD be educated	1. Yes 2. No
	b. If no, what are the challenges	
52	Do you know the facilities under SSA	1. Yes 2. No 3. Never heard
	If yes, has the child received benefits under SSA	1. Scholarship 2. Books 3. Uniform 4. Transport allowance

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



		5. Special educator 6. Taperecorder 7. Braille books 8. Aids & Appliances 9. Other
53	Are there any special facilities for the education of children with disabilities in the sub-division/ district	1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't Know
	a. If yes, what are they (please specify)	

Livelihood Related		
54	Which of the following best describes his or her status over the past 12 months	1. Student 2. Drop-out 3. Govt. Employee 4. Non-government employee 5. Self-employed 6. Unemployed (able to work) 7. Unemployed (unable to work) 8. Other (Specify)
55	Does the PwD have any interest to be engaged in any vocation	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, please mention his or her interest	
56	Does the PwD have any skill-set to do any vocation	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, please mention the skill-set	
57	Is there any opportunity to be a wage laborer	1. Yes 2. No
	a. How much can you earn per day if you do daily wage laborer	
58	Are you registered in Special employment exchange	1. Yes 2. No
59	Have you ever applied for a loan	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, where	
	b. Did you get the loan	1. Yes 2. No
	c. If no, what were the problem faced	
60	Have you receive Disabled Pension Scheme under Social Welfare Department?	2. Yes 2. No
	a. If yes, when	
	b. If no,	1. Never heard 2. Don't know how to apply 3. Not selected 4. No disability certificate 5. Other

Socio-political		
61	If above 18 years, does s/he has voter ID	1. Yes 2. No
	a. Do s/he ever caste a vote	1. Yes 2. No
62	If head of the family, do they have ration card	1. Yes 2. No

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY ON PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY INITIATIVE
with support from
CBM CHRISTOFFEL-BLINDENMISSION CHRISTIAN BLIND MISSION



	a. Since when (in years)	
	b. If no, What is the reason for not having the card	
	c. If yes, have you used in the last six month	1. Yes 2. No If no, reason – 1. Too far 2. No Time to get 3. Financial Constrain 4. Irregular supply 5. Poor quality 6. Other
63	Membership and political activity (groups or organisation you belong to)	1. Youth club/ sport group 2. Trade union/ business or professional group 3. Self Help Group 4. Religious Youth group 5. Development Group or NGOs 6. Any other:
64	Does the parents of PwD parents association	1. Yes 2. No
65	Does anybody in the household have health insurance	1. Yes 2. No
66	Does anybody in the household have Job Card	1. Yes 2. No
	a. If no, what is the reason for not having	

Social Participation		
67	With whom the PwD spend time most	1. Mother 2. Father 3. Sibling 4. Grand 5. Neighbour 6. Other
68	Do you think the PwD can participate in social activities or ceremony	1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't Know
69	Has anyone ever ill treated the PwD	1. Yes 2. No
	If yes, who	1. Member of the family 2. People in the street 3. People in public places 4. Govt officials 5. Other (Specify)
	If yes, what do you do to prevent in the future	1. Nothing, I don't know what to do 2. Nothing, being afraid of abuse more 3. Abused back 4. Report to important persons in the society 5. Stay away 6. Talk to the person 7. Other (Specify)

PHOTO SECTION

